

The Final Chapter INTRODUCTION

“Woe to you that call evil good, and good evil; that put, darkness for light, and light, for darkness” (Isaias 5:20).

This is an intense chapter, more than any other. The Message of Fatima, in reality, is a call to **alert humanity to have nothing to do with what is also at hand, the dragon.**

The last book of the Bible, was cited by Saint Pope John Paul II in his sermon when he was actually in Fatima on May 13, 2000. And, it was particularly significant, that he pointed out that the book of the Apocalypse deals with the end times, and, the Sun. This is not an easy chapter.

We shall provide you a brief description concerning the mystery of Fatima. By presenting briefly what had been presented by the Vatican in June 2000, at a press conference, in the John Paul II Hall of the Press Office.

then followed by interpretations as given in history. Then, despite the words of discontent, a dove, with news of even more frightening ramifications will be set free. This chapter, and chapter one, are probably the longest chapters of this digital book.

For many people, getting to know this "mystery" was a trip, and for many people, it is a story from the past, with a connection to the future. Then, deeper in the chapter, we release what followed by interpretations as given in history. Then, despite the words of discontent, a dove with news of even more frightening ramifications will be set free.

Two good questions to ask are, how deep from the past are we to be obliged to believe? and, why? Is it dangerous to throw it all out and not believe in anything? , because part of it from past history is true, and second, because part of the rest could be true?

The Message of Fatima wants us to have nothing to do with, the dragon. The dragon is the original serpent, representing evil, and with his tail tries to drag away a third of the stars of heaven. Some say, it alludes to Lucifer and his fallen angels; others mankind; still others, the clergy are represented by the stars. A third is a considerable number to be misled by evil. Two opposing organizations, good vs evil.

How is the Third Secret of Fatima unlocked

Curiously, when Pope John Paul two was in Fatima for 23 hours for the beatification ceremony, he personally never mentioned the secret or was interviewed by any reporters. Reverend Gruner, the Fatima scholar, is quoted as saying he does not question its authenticity. The central interpretation, by Monsignor Bertone, the CDF's Secretary, and Cardinal Ratzinger, comments that the events in the vision were fulfilled on May 13, 1981, the day a man dressed in white, which would be Pope John Paul, according to Bertone and colleagues, fell from bullets.

The Vatican also released a little letter on June 26 about the secret written by Sister Lucia, written after the assassination attempt. First, is what we feel is important, the actual sentences that comprise this letter:

Sister Lucia wrote, quote: "And if we have not yet seen the complete fulfillment of the final part of this prophecy, we are going towards it little by little with great strides if we do not reject the path of sin...." End quote.

Those are some deliberating debilitating words. When I first read it, it seemed natural to concentrate on the part of the sin aspect. But, there is more to it than that.

Lucia never mentions the assassination attempt: Point #1. And

that's why the Vatican was so mysterious and chose carefully to state in its commentary that a reader is not required to believe what was "commented" that it was fulfilled in 1981, in case another segment later pops up. Point #2: if you look closely, we can ask how can you possibly be going towards "it" if, the prophecy has been fulfilled? If the Vatican wrote that the Third Secret foretold the 1981 assassination? we must point out that the thoughts of her letter were written after Ali Agça tried to kill the Pope.

However, Lucia says a big "if." That is a powerful word. If. By her letter, she also admits she is not certain it was fulfilled or was unfulfilled, we come to the conclusion she is not certain.

The Vatican struggles to claim, with the release on June 26, via Cardinal Ratzinger's commentary, that the man dressed in white was Pope John Paul II. To be fulfilled means the Fatima prophecy, story, and its regalia is terminated. If you read between the lines of the commentary, to be fulfilled means it has been culminated. To be culminated means the story of Fatima has come to a terminus, an end. The commentary suggests places, events, prophecies, warnings are connected with the past, are associated, if you will, with the 20th Century, which is now over by 2001, by anybody's meter of history in the western world.

The commentary would like you to think Fatima is relegated to the dustbin of history. And, to forget about it. The Catholic world. The Christian world. The ordinary Joe who doesn't know the difference between an apostle or an acolyte and could care less about any religion. A segment of the Vatican, it appears, would like nothing more than for you to drop it.

Moreover, let us go back to the letter. When she wrote, "going towards it" is it just another assassination attempt? Or, towards greater beams of apostasy? Is it just a struggle of Christiandom vs the world of commies? Or, perhaps some nasty chastisement lurking in the future? Could the "it" be a part of something else other than

the prescribed “so-called foretold” **vision?**

It is time to ground ourselves in history. The essence of the Bible is what God has revealed. It is the inspired Word from God, little by little composed through the ages, revealed to us, humanity.

Humanity was given the Bible, but in 1917, I believe a part of the Bible was deposited on the footstep of humanity, not as a private revelation, not only for holy Joe Catholics, not just for old people, but for all to see and hear.

How do you know it is a **fulfillment of Biblical prophecy? We must look to Sacred Scripture, namely in our examples, Chapter 1, verse 1 of Revelation, also called the Apocalypse, and Chapter 12, verses 1 and 2. Afterwhich, we will add austere passages from the Bible on the topic of ungodliness.**

“The Revelation of Jesus Christ, which God gave unto him, to show his servants things which must shortly come to pass; and he sent and signified it by his Angel unto his servant John” who wrote the Apocalypse. (Chapter 1, verse 1)

“And a great sign was seen in heaven; a woman arrayed with the Sun, and the moon under her feet, and upon her head a crown of twelve stars; and she was with child; and she cried out....” (Chapter 12, verses 1 and 2)

Despite a world of super technology, and handheld digital devices, our world is

very much draped in the ungodly, as seen in chapter five. It is widely accepted by scholars of the Bible, the signs described in Revelation, especially chapters 12-14, aids us in broadening our appreciation of everything that is involved in the woe of a world draped in principles of the ungodly.

The book preceding The Revelation is the Epistle of Jude, verse 7, which fits our times: Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them, in like manner giving themselves over to fornication and going after strange flesh, are set forth as an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire....Woe unto them, for they have gone in the ways Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam, for reward, and perished in the contradiction of Korah....Raging waters of the sea, foaming out their own shame, wandering stars, to whom is reserved the blackness of darkness forever. Verses 11 & 13.

And Enoch also, the seventh from Adam, prophesied, saying, “Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousand of his Saints. To execute judgment upon all, and to convict all the ungodly....

Lost among the pages of floating history, if we go back in time, 24 years ago, on May 13, 2000 we have Saint John Paul II taking a respectable theological stand in a sermon he made at Fatima. He was, for some reason, afraid to convincingly define Our Lady of Fatima as the woman in Revelation from Chapter 12.

By way of prophecy, it is the author’s opinion, the Lady arrayed with the Sun in Fatima is the “woman arrayed with the Sun” in Chapter 12 of The Revelation. A lady made a special appearance in 1917, with a very special sign on October 13, that was seen as a great sign in heaven, by multitudes as described in chapter one of this book. Whenever a biblical prophecy in Sacred Scripture is fulfilled, it must be believed. For many decades, the most learned scholars the Catholic Church could muster, had studied the so-called apparitions of Fatima, and not one iota to dis- credit it has ever been dogmatically defined. Curiously, there exist two Catholic Popes who tell us it is Our Lady of Fatima who is indicated in Chapter 12, verse 1.

If you would have heard the sermon, you could have missed it. He stated, “According to the divine plan, a woman clothed with the Sun (Apocalypse 12:1) came down from Heaven to this Earth to visit the

privileged children of the Father. She speaks to them with a mother's voice and heart. She asks them to offer themselves as victims of reparation, saying that She was ready to lead them safely to God....Another portent appeared in Heaven; behold a great red dragon. (Apocalypse 12: 3) The excerpt continues: These words from the first reading of the Mass make us think of the great struggle between good and evil....The Message of Fatima is a call to converting, alerting humanity to have nothing with the dragon whose tail swept down a third of the stars of Heaven, and cast them to the Earth. Man's final goal is Heaven, his true home, where the heavenly Father awaits everyone with His merciful love."

Students of Our Lady of Fatima can take heart about what the same Pope also

said in 1982. In a long lost text from the English Edition of *L'Osservatore Romano*, John Paul II said, "the messages of Fatima are deeply rooted in Scripture and Sacred Tradition of the Catholic Church."

The great sign, the great miracle, was given on October 13, 1917 and a refusal to believe in it is tantamount to the Pharisees' refusal to believe in Christ, by whom in their time, were beset by miracles. Alas, the question is what comes next? In this discourse, I take the stand on the position that "Fatima is in biblical prophecy," and other passages in chapter 12 are relevant. For the first time, John in the Apocalypse (Book of Revelation) beholds a woman in Heaven. She is clearly not an earthly being. God's favor shines upon her day and night. John sees her clothed or arrayed in the Sun, but also note she is crowned with 12 stars; such a number is associated with completeness, in an organizational setting. 12 stars? **Didn't the kids remember seeing Our Lady of Garabandal with 12 golden stars above her head? See page 88 of chapter one of this book.**

Go ahead, check it out. Pause, if you like.

Twelve stars seem to indicate the woman is associated with an organizational arrangement in Heaven. The moon beneath her feet. When we add the crown of stars, the lady is completely surrounded by heavenly lights.

I would like to think our digital journey is not over. Bertone notes Lucia's words that "the third part of the secret *refers to Our Lady's words...*[and] is a symbolic revelation." However, pay strict attention, nothing inside this vision module of the 2000 message seems to contain a single word as the Blessed Mother is quoted as saying.

It has been pointed out that Cardinal Ratzinger places the vision as a literal historical event and not an allegory, however, he appears to place the apocalyptic texts—a pope climbing over corpses in a ruined city, for example—in the realm of the allegorical. And, even if you did not agree with that, there is no annihilation of nations, not yet at least. Why? The angel has held back, which is good.

In 1984, Cardinal Ratzinger had stated he had read the Third Secret. Without telling you what it was, he did point out that it concerned the danger of Faith, and an interconnection with the life of the Christian and the rest of the world. He also said it included the importance of the Last Times. His interview was published in the periodical *Jesus*, published by the Pauline Sisters, but shortly thereafter when his words were published in a book, the part about Faith, Biblical prophecy plus any mention of the Last Times were censored, as stated in this book before; words mentioned by Saint Malachy of Ireland. Also excluded was the view that things contained within the Third Secret corresponded to what "is announced in Scripture." ie. a sign in the heavens, divine chastisements in the Bible. Nothing from the Bible scriptures is

mentioned in the vision part. Perhaps, it does not want to mention the Bible because it is intimidated by a connection. Again, from the commentary of the 26th, any corollary to an annihilation of nations in the message is perceived as past, so Bible or no Bible, it is meant to be something from the past, according to the 2000 release.

The conversion of Russia is also supposedly part of the past, according to reasoning in the commentary. Vatican interpretation takes care not to give any recent direct testimony by Sister Lucia concerning Russia and Fatima. For over 70 years consecration and conversion had been a very important theme of all of the Fatima's messages, but in the commentary it becomes a thing of the past, one that is either irrelevant by nature of not even having any mention of it whatsoever in the June 26 commentary or, something that in the past has already happened, and relevant or not, it appears conveniently written out of the Message of Fatima.

For those of you further interested in the history of the Church, the scholarly book, and New York Times Best-Seller, *The Jesuits, The Society of Jesus and the Betrayal of the Roman Catholic Church*, by Malachi Martin, is a worthwhile book, in that it shows more than just the story of Loyola's order. It shows the start of the heretics in the modern Catholic Church. Put mainly during the dimension between 1949- 79, Rev Martin placed particular importance to the 1970s, a time Catholic religion entered an era of experimentation that carried well beyond the groovy, swinging 60s! And you thought the 1960s were the radical, jet-set years?

If the Catholic Church and its leaders stick to compromise big-time, the names may change, but in due time the Anti-Pope will hit everybody like an anvil on the head. In line with Nostradamus' prophecies, the devil will have the advantage, and there will appear a great division in the Church when you will have two opposing Popes, and as historian Reverend Paul Kramer once had said, the

next pontificate will probably see that struggle occurring. He said this before Francis became Pope.

It appears the new millennium is to be christened with a brand new one-world order of the Third Millennium, and the fall of the Soviet Union means the fall of communism, and therefore it is conceivably hoped, by some, that Fatima is no longer relevant to the world politics of this new millennium.

Since Russia ie. the Soviet Union has been sort of consecrated it has been interpreted, by some, the collapse in 1991 constitutes it fell not by fact of a war, there was no war that knocked out the USSR, but, that the changes occurred because the magic of the consecration. On a timeline they do seem interconnected.

In essence, there is creeping up a semi-novel idea that there needs to be a New Fatima, because the fall of Communism connotes a consecration of the world, and to be more exact Russia, they say, has started to convert. It has the appearance of a magical circle.

What appears as magic is a theme of many, including our forgotten sneak Evaristo. *A New Fatima for a New Church*. The road to conversion need not apply 100% and as long as a change of a path from evil to good appears, that is good enough for some.

Some claim the conversion can't start until you have a consecration and because it is claimed the consecration has been fulfilled, what you see is the start of the conversion, especially with the opening of churches for worship, which before the consecration was never possible.

In case you may not be aware—and without getting into the topic of planting

Catholic dogma on a reader who may not be of the Catholic faith—the majority of people who believe in Christ in the former USSR are Russian Orthodox Catholics. Doctrines are both similar to Roman Catholicism and different—in particular the reverence of the

Immaculate Conception of Mary.

The Blessed Mother told the three children of Fatima that in the end Her Im- maculate Heart will triumph upon the consecration, and so how can people who live within the confines of the Russian State be converted if they have no intention of believing in Mary's divine importance? To believe in her Immaculate Heart is to believe in what her heart stands for, the meaning of a heart is very important as any one knows. In Roman Catholic teaching, she was born without any sin. She was pure from the beginning. Russian Orthodox dogma rejects the entire Immaculate Heart concept.

Are we really witnessing some path to conversion in Russia?? If since 1960, moreover, the power of the Roman Catholic Church has lost over 80,000 priests, how can a conversion be possible if the Church in its own house can't even possess enough priests to stay healthy? Conversion and miracles, nevertheless, do happen. Portugal, once upon a time, persecuted the Catholic Church like if there was no tomorrow. However, within nine years after 1917, the persecutions stopped. Before 1530, Mexico was purely a non-Christian land, chiefly following the pagan gods Quetzalcoatl and OHH lem. After the Marian apparition of Guadalupe, in 1529, not a handful of Mexican Indians converted, but more than 7 million Mexicans converted from paganism to the Christian faith within nine years.

If one is to infer that Russia is in the process of conversion because the consecration has already taken place, why is the Russian government dominated by atheistic ex-communists; why is Russia a world center of child pornography?

The following episodes in history form a part of our story few outside Russia ever heard of.

Why did the bishop of Siberia, Jerzy Majur, have his visa confiscated for no reason and kicked out of Russia in 2002? (He is

now a bishop in his native Poland.) Why did the Russian government introduce new visa rules in 2007 that make foreigners (which includes all religious workers) be required to depart Russia almost every 3 months? This is caused by a sneaky rule that states you can only spend 90 out of every 180 days in the country, then you have to leave. Then, you can come back. It creates a preposterous situation: no, not for Muslim clerics; not for Jewish rabbis; but for Catholic clerics only. Incidentally, the small percentage of Roman Catholics who go to Sunday Mass depend on about 165 Catholic priests, and the majority are foreign-born priests. So with the new visa rules, the priests have to leave Russia to what technically amounts to six months out of every year, and they have to reapply at least twice a year for re-admission.

Those Catholics of the world who say Russia is in the process of conversion because the consecration has already taken place are way out on the moon some- place. Moreover, an individual priest's renewal can be denied at any time for any reason by a Russian authority.

Fundamental Catholics see at least three strong points that do not show the state of affairs in the former Soviet Union has changed with burning energy. Fundamentally, we have the above scenario described: the world has not grown less rebellious, less warlike or less atheistic since 1984; as a matter of fact, since the 1990s drive-by shootings have escalated. In America, it seems it is getting out of hand and worse, with the level of gun violence hitting a crazy rise from 2022 to today in 2024. You are witnessing some of the craziest times in people taking out a weapon and plastering people with bullets. In a 2021 July article from the *Washington Post*, it has determined some 1,800 people have been "injured or killed in mass shootings so far in 2021". And that was 2021, folks. Gun violence is endemic. But the point is that mass shootings are getting worse. (Drive-by's were relatively unheard back in the year of nineteen eighty four, the year of the Olympics in the United States.) Should

one also make the Gulf War of 1991, the various wars of the Balkans and the war against Islamic terrorism disappear?

In terms of society and the Catholic faith, I bet you never heard of these two pieces of information: a new Russian law—derived since the so-called consecration of '84—has been passed. and, it discriminates against the Catholic Church, but favors Islam, Buddhism, Judaism and the Orthodox religions. Less than one percent of the Russian population, about 300,000, are Catholic, yet, it requires Catholic parishes to apply for annual registration, which can be revoked at any time by any magistrate in any town in Russia. People fail to realize, and it is no fabrication that, there are more converts to Islam than to Catholicism—Muslims outnumber Catholics in the Russian Federation ten-to-one.

In all this, converting, preaching, call it what you like, has not only been forbidden by the state laws of Russia, but by the Vatican! From a little known declaration, signed by the Russian Orthodox and the Roman Catholic churches, called a shock of a secret **The Balamand Statement of 1993, among other things, the Catholic Church, will exclude “for the future, all proselytizing meaning preaching and all desire by Catholics for expansion at the expense of the Orthodox Church.” The Patriarchate of Czech and Slovak, The Patriarchate of Jerusalem, The Patriarchate of Bulgaria and all Georgia and the The Patriarchate of Athens did not sign to it. **This declaration however, was a joint declaration issued in 1993 that took place in Balamand, Lebanon, from June 17 to 24. It not only reaffirmed a very important concept, considering it an “outdated ecclesiology” the return of the Russian Orthodox to the Catholic Church but it also minimizes the Blessed Mother’s request. The key theme according to what was released from 1993 to 2000 by the Vatican in all this is a discourse on penance, which is vital however, that which is in connection to the “triumph” happening, as was set forth by both Jesus and His mother Mary, in religious commentary, seems****

unfortunately to

take a demeaning back seat because like some in the modern Vatican like to suggest, when it comes to Fatima, what has been described by Jesus and Mary concerning Fatima is does not concern the future.

What makes things interesting, is that amidst all the above and mass shootings and global wars, are the last few lines of St. Malakee's list.

What is disturbing to some people is the following, which is not conjectural. Saint Malakee of Ireland wrote a list of Popes from his times to the modern era. I am not saying Malakee's list is 100% in error, nor 100% error free. His descriptive phrases were written in exact order over 700 years ago. As I often remark, this book starts with Fatima and ends with Fatima, however, within this spectrum lies a range of enthralling stories and links, with attachments to the prophecies of Saint Malakee of Ireland, and Nostradamus and his historical facts on the renovator, who I claim to be Pope Francis. The wheels of light point to him, a man from Argentina who is frequently called by the media as the renovator, but whom in reality centuries ago remarked about someone who is called the renovator who will bring changes to the church. If you have not read my web page on the list of Popes from 1963 to 2023, I encourage you to do so by doing a google in my name, Robert C Valentine, then go to my website and find main welcome. Thanks for listening to part one of this audio selection of three parts.

